



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,169	06/27/2003	Raymond G. Hasley	20473-1	7803
572	7590	08/23/2004	EXAMINER	
CLIFFORD A. POFF 9800B MCKNIGHT ROAD SUITE 115 PITTSBURGH, PA 15237			THISSELL, JENNIFER I	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3635		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/609,169	HASLEY, RAYMOND G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer I Thissell	3635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 18 and 22 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,16,17,19-21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 3,4 and 11-15 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/03.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "said second array". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-10, 16, 17, 20, and 21 are rejected, as understood, under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson ('998). Nelson teaches a building including the combination of an array of first (10 in Figure 8), second (10A in Figure 8), and third (10 in Figure 8) triangular façade elements with the second façade jutting from side boundaries of

each of the first and third triangular facades. The first, second, and third facades occur in a serial fashion dispersed about an elongated central axis that runs down through the uppermost point where the three facades are joined at the peak. The central axis is oriented perpendicular to the horizontal, each of the facades include a perimeter wall lying in a common reference plane, the reference plane is foundation oriented, and the reference plane forms an acute angle with a reference plane generally containing terrain surrounding the façade. There is a second array (column 4, lines 24-26) of first, second, and third triangular facades (Figure 3) with the second array jutting from side boundaries of each of the first and third facades of the second array. The second array occurs in a serial fashion dispersed about a second axis, the first and second axis being generally parallel and set apart a pitch distance such that the walls of the facades confront each other. The three building elements are arranged mutually contiguous along a central axis, for each façade there are three peripheral boundaries, each of the facades have a boundary that is substantially contiguous with the boundary of at least one of the other building elements, there are considered five principal boundaries for each façade, which include the edges and the faces, the first façade has two boundaries substantially contiguous with each of the second and third elements, and the elements have at least two principal peripheral boundaries forming an acute angle relation therebetween.

Claims 1, 2, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson ('626). Johnson teaches a building with a combination of first 49, second 48, and third 47 triangular façade elements, with the second element jutting from side boundaries of each of the first and third facades. The first and third facades each project from one of each of opposite lateral side of the second façade. The three elements each have a boundary substantially contiguous with a boundary of at least another one of the building elements, each of the elements have three peripheral boundaries defined by at least one boundary forming an acute angular relation with each of the two remaining boundaries.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, and 11-15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 18 and 22 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer I

Thissell whose telephone number is (703) 306-5750. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600